[Music]

Okay.

Welcome to lecture number seven.

So, we've been talking a lot

about this

Greco-Roman tradition, and you might have wondered when we're going to get to the

Romans, to the Latin text,

and that's today, and it's principally

going to be by way of Virgil

and some Varro too. Virgil is probably

regarded as one of the most

influential and important of all the

Roman writers,

and it's especially the case that this

is because of pastoral, and also

georgic, both of which we're going to be

doing today, both of which are,

Virgil actually engaging with

environmental issues. He's known for a

third thing which is epic,

so he sort of does a take-off or

what you'd call it but Homer

wrote the Iliad

and Virgil writes the Aeneid, which is

arguably the most important

Latin epic, but doesn't really concern us

as we're principally concerned with

pastoral today,

and georgic. You already know pastoral

because of Theocritus, what we've done

with the Greeks

now, we're going to see it in terms of

the Romans but also this new form which

is georgic.

Georgic also a kind of nature writing so $\,$

let's just jump right in look at the

Prezi.

Okay, so notice that we've covered an

awful lot of time here,

Roman culture and with Rome.

We started off in northern Africa,

we were in Greek and Ireland, in

the Mediterranean,

but now we're in Rome in Italy.

So we are firmly on the European

continent here, and we've also moved

across

here timewise of course, most

of that way up.

So without further ado lecture number

seven: so

pastoral conclusion and georgic and with

Varro something else

Too, and that's going to be a kind of

nature writing that

is well perhaps a little surprising,

you'll see what you think

so Virgil, let me pop back on screen here,

okay, bad time to pop on screen, I

don't want to

 mess this up with the word there, so

from his earliest Theocritus we

had pastoral poetry imagining, a locus

amanous

as a way of one way or another, whether

it was conscious or not, drawing

attention to the contemporary state of

the environment,

and drawing attention that there were

problems, so pastoral again

often pops up when there are problems of

some sort, whether political problems, we

saw that

with the civil war and pastoral

becomes

pastoral art that we looked at, but it

could be environmental problems as well.

So just to get the chronology right

here,

we started off with early Greek writing

with

Hesiod, it's roughly contemporary of

Homer

some of the earliest Greek writing,

Theocritus is later,

but still later again is Virgil. Virgil

is writing right about at the beginning

of the Christian era,

a little before and principally the

century before, but he's 200 years after

Theocritus, and he takes this

pastoral project further, which is one of

the reasons he's so interesting to us,

as he wants to consider how we become a

worthy environment as endangered in the

first place,

and the role of human action in

endangering the environment so,

that's why he's pretty interesting to us.

Now I can pop on screen. There I am. To

understand how Virgil draws attention to

the environment we really need to look

at Eclogue I.

Eclogue I is far and away from our

environmental point of view, the most

important of his eclogues,

and his eclogues are the most important

environmental writing he does with

respect to pastoral,

by the way people often get this

confused, if you look at this word here

"eclogue," you might think that

there is ecology in here, that

etymologically our word ecology is

related to it,

it has nothing to do with our word

ecology, so don't get thrown;

it simply means "selections" in Greek,

and but don't get confused there

because

of course, Latin is the language that

Virgil is writing in but ecloque is

an earlier word

that is often used, that he uses in fact

to describe what he does, but has nothing

to do with ecology.

Ecology just doesn't

actually get

coined until the second half of the 19th

century

by a German biologist who's a

contemporary of Darwin

named Ernst Hagel. Ernst

sorry I just got that word wrong, but

I'm having a moment, I'll remember

his name in a minute, but

all you have to know, eclogue: nothing to

do with ecology.

I'm sorry I skipped the slide

there, I changed the order there.

What's so important is why I

shifted the order here, because this

really should be the concluding part of

this little

section here, the first eclogue

is important because

it explores how we become fully aware of

the environment,

and why we don't do that until the

moment of when it's lost, so the example

would be

Santa Barbara: the oil spill. Yes

people were aware that they had a beach

before the 1969 oil spill,

but it's that oil spill that made people

really aware of how important it was and

how endangered it was, and what those,

if you looked off the coast of

Santa Barbara, those oil platforms, how

they were endangering the environment.

So writers may have written

about the environment before Virgil and

all, but Virgil wants to talk about

how it can become endangered.

It's a little confusing because he

doesn't want to talk about how

well, you'll see, it's about how someone

actually leaves a place, but

same basic notion here. So

in the opening speech you have

two

individuals Meliboeus and Tityrus,

and Meliboeus, so this is a dialogue then

and there's

just two people talking back and forth

and

all the conversation is dialogue so it

opens with Meliboeus,

attempting to draw his friend, Tityrus,'

attention to the environment,
so he's talking about, from the very
first line, a big spreading beach, a
woodland muse, sweet
fields, woods, and why is he talking about
them, why is he sort of becoming forlorn,
well because this has become lost to him,
because he's been exiled from the fields,
from his own fields, from his own farm, so
again two guys, having a conversation,
both farmers, both friends, Meliboeus is

Tityrus, is staying. Meliboeus has lost his farm,

leaving,

and yet he's talking a great deal about his farm,

Tityrus, however, Meliboeus spent first five lines talking about his farm

and the fact that he's lost it and how beautiful it was.

Tityrus responds by drawing attention to the political situation,

where seemingly being oblivious to

Meliboeus attempts to foreground the
environment.

So right off the bat, two guys talking about two different things,

Meliboeus about the environment, Tityrus

about

politics. This will stand throughout the whole dialogue,

Meliboeus then, so we're shifting back and forth the conversation, one guy spoke, another guy spoke, now the first person, Meliboeus is speaking again, continues by observing in some detail
how something is wrong environmentally,
"in the fields, everywhere there is so
much turmoil,"

this is what he's talking about. Tityrus then

gets his chance to talk, and he ignores Meliboeus again, and the fields and all that turmoil,

and returns to a discussion of his patron.

We know, and it's not mentioned here by Virgil because Virgil is sort of masking political things too, but we know that very likely his patron is Caesar Augustus in Rome,

that Tityrus has managed to get pretty high

connected to Caesar Augustus, and wants to talk about him.

The key point here, which I've said but just to make it explicit, is while Tityrus keeps returning to politics, Meliboeus is repeatedly directing, and directing us in his reference to the environment,

and Tityrus is ignoring that, and arguably, Meliboeus is sort of ignoring the political too,

this opposition continues throughout the eclogue.

It's very important, and Virgil is sort of hitting us over the head with it, the way these two guys relate to it.

So, a little poll here, obviously we're not in the classroom but,

did you find Virgil boring? Remember the $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{very first class I told you that}$

the Epic of Gilgamesh was as good as

it was probably going to get?

It's not quite fair, I mean as we get to

later stuff,

Shakespeare, a lot of people

think it's pretty good,

and Thoreau is interesting enough.

He's a fun read.

But did you find Virgil boring? I'm just

kind of curious, and why I'm actually

asking

is that most people do. So, one of the

reasons I do these polls actually in a

classroom,

when we're actually in UCSB, so

other people can

see what other people think too,

and if you thought it was boring,

I can confirm from having taught this

course before, and having asked this

before,

that most people in the room found a

little boring,

but just not an apology for why we

have the readings, we have an explanation.

I chose these not because they were the

most interesting, or the most beautiful,

or the most fun,

but because they were the most

relevant for the particular story that

we were telling environmentally.

We could look at other texts from the

period that might be interesting

environmentally, but

we're sort of tracing a theme here and

Virgil is the best,

but also because Virgil is absolutely,

the most influential when it comes to

pastoral, of anyone who ever wrote,

period. And that means we just have

to read them regardless of whether is

particularly fun,

sorry about that.

So Virgil's first eclogue continuing,

because Meliboeus is losing his

farm,

he makes very clear, and this is from the

very opening speech onward

that he is now profoundly aware of its

value,

which if he is anything like Tityrus,

may have escaped his attention prior to

his exile, so in short Meliboeus

has developed what we would call an

environmental consciousness.

So imagine, or go back to our original

example of how environmental

consciousness works, the local one with

Santa Barbara oil spill in 1969. That oil

spill happened, something profoundly big

happened with it,

and people became aware of the

environment. Nothing has happened in the

environment here to Meliboeus'

farm, but because he has exiled from it,

he has lost it too, the same way people

kind of lost their pristine beach and

had indeed lost their pristine beaches

in 1969,

Meliboeus has lost his form and as a

consequence,

he has developed an environmental

consciousness, and he is trying to

communicate it to his friend

Tityrus,

so and that becomes very clear, because

all he's doing is

this sort of

poetic celebration of the environment

from the very first line, he keeps saying

Tityrus,

look at this, Tityrus look at this.

Anyhow let's, look in detail

how he does this,

and yeah be very clear about this he

does not develop

his environmental consciousness

because the environment is

changing

around him, or other example when we

talked about environmental

consciousness, Rachel Carson that's

exactly what she did,

because the environment was

indeed changing,

she, by way of the fact that it's

being dosed with

all these pesticides, these

biocides, DDT and all,

but this approach, Virgil provides a $\,$

nonetheless an

insight into environmental consciousness,

but it's not

because something horrible is

happening to

the environment, but nonetheless even

though it's a little different,

in that this person is changing,

the sense of moving rather than the

environment changing,

Virgil was arguably one of the first

people to

systematically think about the idea of

how environmental consciousness emerges,

arguably, he is the one, I guess I've made

that argument so,

it's very important for us to look at

as so getting back to our line by

line reading of the poem,

Meliboeus, as the poem

continues politely but pointedly

notes that Tityrus has neglected in more

ways than one, so now we're 30

odd

lines into the poem, and

Tityrus is going to be told

that there's a problem,

and one of the most moving lines of the

poem, Meliboeus suggests that "the

very pines,

Tityrus, the very springs, very orchards

called

out for you," but you Tityrus weren't

listening.

So in the language of the poems,

that the

environment is screaming out to be

seen,

and yet Tityrus is totally ignoring, again

going back to the '69 oil spills, like

this beach, this pristine beach was

yelling out,

trying to tell you how beautiful, how

wonderful it is, and what did you do?

You just ignored it.

And there's a double sense that

Tityrus has ignored

his fields and the environment, and

he's still ignoring the

environment right before his

eyes, so it's one thing not to have

developed,

in Tityrus 's mind, not to have developed

environmental consciousness, but

Meliboeus

not to have done, but Meliboeus is vexed

because he just can't communicate

an environmental consciousness to

Tityrus, so

back to that question which I posed,

with respect to Rachel Carson, which

we'll see when we actually get to

her,

how do you communicate the value of the

environment?

How do you communicate environmental

consciousness to someone else?

And that's a real challenge, and

we'll see it's one of the great

challenges

still facing us today.

Aside, how do you communicate the

significance of the climate crisis to

someone

who just doesn't get it? Tityrus just

doesn't

get it, Meliboeus is really trying

to make him get it, but he just

doesn't.

A lot of the country in the United States now just doesn't get it, and a lot of people are trying to communicate it, and they're getting as frustrated as Meliboeus is here, and one last attempt to facilitate the environment appearing for Tityrus. So really, it's not just communicating it but what Meliboeus wants him to do, he wants the environment to appear for Tityrus the way it does for him. He wants him to develop an environmental consciousness. In the language of the poem, he wants Tityrus "to hear it calling out to him." In other words, the environment, the fields are desperately trying to be seen and heard, but Tityrus is not listening, so Meliboeus launches into two protracted concluding speeches, both of which provide lush descriptions of the surrounding environment. So this is his last sort of tour to force, big effort, to try to communicate what's going on here In the first of these speeches, Meliboeus tries to draw attention to various features of the environment, familiar streams, bees feeding on willow blossoms,

and a variety of different birds.

In each of these, I should note,

they're very specific. This is not,

you don't have to worry about this being

particularly allegorical right here,

because he is specifically saying,

what kind of blossoms, willow

blossom, what kind of doves,

turtle doves, not meant to be allegorical

but these are

literal local plants and animals

that he's talking about here, so he's

clearly saying to

Tityrus, look there are real issues

here, real things here,

and what becomes then a striking

collision of literal and

allegorical throughout the epilogue

Tityrus response

by drawing

these response to these literal

descriptions of the countryside

by allegorically referencing the

political situation,

which Meliboeus has been neglecting, so

even though Meliboeus gives this tour

to force attempt to

make Tityrus aware of the environment

with all these

wonderful local, real

descriptions,

Tityrus still wants to talk about

politics.

In other words, because his form is now

lost to him,

Tityrus has developed an

environmental consciousness

and Meliboeus

wishes to make Tityrus conscious of it by

repeatedly drawing attention to it. So

it's just, what we've been saying

all along, I want to make it

clear here, that's what's happening again

and again and again, we saw in the very

first line, Meliboeus trying to communicate

the importance of

the environment lost to him, and Tityrus

being oblivious to it, and even after

Meliboeus's

persistent sort of all-out

attempt to communicate it,

it doesn't work. Tityrus on the other

hand, let's talk about his position,

because it is important. He offers the

political

causes for it, thus Meliboeus

finds Tityrus neglectful of his duty to

the land, but Tityrus repeatedly makes

clear that Meliboeus has

neglected his political obligations.

Let's talk about that for a moment,

what are the political obligations?

What's going on here?

Well why, is we have to ask the question

which we've been focusing so much on

environmental issues, we haven't,

why is Meliboeus even losing his land,

has become bankrupt,

something like that, hasn't been

paying his bills, no, he's been doing a

great job of everything presumably,

but what happens, after wars, and a

war happened here,

this is 2,000 years ago, how favor was shown, and how rulers showed that they were happy with with generals and other people, so if you join the army and you're an important

general, maybe you bring

men with you to fight in the war and all,

how does the ruler then

compensate you at the

end?

Often they would do it by giving land, by giving parcels of land, to show how happy

they were. It's sort of

payment and sort of a prize at the end.

Meliboeus's farm is one such land. Caesar

Augustus has decided just to give it to

someone else,

Meliboeus,

well why hasn't he done that because probably he doesn't know much about

what Meliboeus is about, and doesn't really care about Meliboeus.

Tityrus on the other hand is a clever guy politically,

he's actually gone to Rome, and in the the argument here, it's actually said that he's gone to Rome,

and he's actually made nice directly

with Caesar Augustus.

So even though there's no mention of him

being a soldier or anything,

he did what he had to do politically to get in with the most important person in

the country,

and ensure that his farm wasn't being

given away.

So in a way, Tityrus and he does counter

this

make the counter argument to Meliboeus,

I was taking care of my farm.

I made sure that I still have the farm. I

am going to stay in my farm while you

are being exiled.

Well that's right, but then of

course Meliboeus is arguing that he's

been neglecting his fields because he's

been in Rome and he hasn't been taking

care of his farm.

So you can see why both guys have an

argument here and why both of them are

going back

and forth, trying to get the

other to be aware of why

their position is the right

position.

So it's clever on Virgil's part, and

I should note that

at the time this is in written,

probably eclogue one,

Virgil has actually lost his farm in a

situation

not dissimilar to this, as far as we know,

so if he really can understand the

position

of Meliboeus and inhabit that character,

and make us really feel

for that position, maybe that's why, and

if he understands

that politics matter, maybe that's why

at this point as well.

So these play out on two levels: this

conversation going back and forth

on the one hand, it's a literal thing, so

it's a literal environmental

question, as far as Meliboeus is

concerned, he's talking about things like

willow

blossoms and all, specific features in

the environment, but Tityrus when he

does talk about the environment, he talks

about

Cyprus among wayfaring trees, that's not

meant

to be literal, Cyprus he means

those really

tall Italian cypresses, you

may have seen,

and how they are much taller than other

plants, not because he cares about that

literally, because metaphorically that's

what Rome is like. He's gone to the place

there are like towers above everything

else,

that's Rome, and the tower's above the

wayfaring trees,

so that's Rome of which

he's talking about.

So they're both talking about the

Environment, but the thing that

Tityrus does

is metaphorical rather than literal.

By focusing these two competing

viewpoints,

one on the figurative, one on the

metaphorical, it doesn't even reveal the

full situation here, because

what's really happening, and what

Virgil wants to draw attention to,

because

if he cared about just one or the other,

he could have written a poem

just celebrating the environment with

Meliboeus speaking, kind of the way that

we saw

Sappho wrote a celebration in the

environment, or he could have just

written the

the political thing here too, but what he

wants to do

is have us understand how these two

are interrelated, that both guys have

a position here that that makes

kind of sense,

that the political

situation,

unveiled language here Rome,

being like a Cyprus and all,

has profound heart-wrenching

consequences to the environment too.

So if you would have just heard

Meliboeus here you wouldn't have known

why he lost his farm and all, and what he

did that was

somewhat remiss, in that he didn't attend

to the political situation here and

attending to the political he would have

made sure that

his farm was preserved the way $\,$

Tityrus did.

It's arguably the case then, so why this

has a big takeaway for us,

that some pastoral,

again is just allegorical, but

so much of pastoral,

you have to realize that the figurative

impacts, the literal, and

ideally a work could,

and should the way Virgil does here,

explore how the figurative impacts the

literal, the relationship between the two.

He literally is bringing these two

perspectives into dialogue

by way of two characters, so it's a talk,

back and forth is being discussed.

And why that's important to us,

and this is a big takeaway,

to understand how an actions impact

the

environment, so it's not like

Meliboeus's farm has been destroyed by

some

weather event, a hurricane, or tornado or

something, it's nothing like that,

it's been it's been lost to him by way

of human action or his

inaction, so

we need to know, well exactly how this

happened,

if there's going to be meaning

there, so in other words

okay, you can say well, it was destroyed

by a storm, so what? Or you could say

it was destroyed by human action, but there

is a question:

what action? What did

Meliboeus do or not do here,

and Virgil offers up that explanation

here.

We know that he was remiss, and Virgil

arguably is setting that out here, so

you might assume, like from our point of view, environmentally especially, that Meliboeus is the real hero here, and the Tityrus is sort of a protagonist, he really

doesn't get it, but no, Meliboeus has a position too, both

these guys

are in different ways remiss. Yes, Tityrus has been remiss because he hasn't taken care of his farm,

but in another way, Meliboeus has been remiss because he hasn't politically been taken care of his farm, ensuring that it was safe.

So Virgil wants to play those two off, see the two positions, and at the end let that neither of these two

people,

as likeable as Meliboeus is,
really has the right idea that is,
really the two of them
together that that have a more sensible.

Because Virgil's first ecloque explores

how consciousness in the

 $environment\ emerges,\ how\ environmental$

consciousness emerges.

It's going to be enormously influential.

So

one of the reasons we're particularly reading it, it's been argued that all pastoral after this first eclogue is going to be a reference one way or another to

Virgil. He's just been enormously influential,

so when Petrarch kind of re-develops

pastoral as the renaissance,

which is a rebirth of classical learning

in particular,

works like this, when

Petrarch starts writing, he's

clearly very aware of

pastoral by way of Virgil in the first

decade on the potential

for his type of writing, by way of

Virgil, so an enormously important work,

it's not the end of pastoral by any

means.

pastoral will continue well into the

 $21\mathrm{st}$ century even with

work like Pocahontas or something, but

pastoral is here,

fully baked in a way and worked out in a

way that a lot of people will become

very aware of.

So let's conclude pastoral.

What is pastoral? (I'll get out

of the screen for a minute) It's a

complex mode of writing.

It's continually being

transformed, you can see

even from Theocritus to Virgil, a couple

hundred years it gets transformed a lot.

Yes, it can be very literal in that sense

a form of nature writing

sure, but it can also be very

allegorical,

and we saw here how is allegorical

regarding

patronage and how you make nice

with a ruler in Rome,

and Virgil's first ecloque is the

shining example of

this, it can be some combination of the

two, it can be allegorical and pastoral

and literal together,

but when pastoral explores the

intersection of

nature writing and allegory, so that's

when it does the two together and of

course,

Virgil's first eclogue, it may well be

commenting on how an action can

impact not just our relationship to the

environment,

but our awareness of it. So Virgil is

clearly doing that here, what

Meliboeus that inactions, how

they resulted in the

the treatment of the environment or

Tityrus,

in his actions, and how it resulted in

his neglect of his fields,

made an environmental impact

but also,

and this is what makes Virgil so

important, our very awareness of

the environment

is perhaps under discussion here as

it was

in Virgil's first eclogue, how Tityrus

ignored becoming aware of it, ignored

environmental consciousness, or

a better way of putting it, never achieved

consciousness of the environment,

whereas Meliboeus has achieved it

before the eclogue even

begins, and that's what he's desperately

trying to communicate.

But one thing to be clear about

here, and

it can be a little confusing, Meliboeus

changes scenes here, rather than having

the

scene change about him, so what do I mean

by that, is well, say you

were at a place in your

lifetime,

there was a lot of environmental change

going on,

everything got developed into housing or

something,

you would then become aware of the

environment, but that's not what's

happening here with Meliboeus, the

scene is not

changing, the environment is not changing.

He's moving,

and that will become enormously

influential for the

rest, for the western tradition

of literature and art

as it sets this exile motif in play, and

we're going to see that when we get to

Amelia Lanier

in the early modern period in England.

So it's different one changing scenes is

different than having a scene change

about you, but still

by way of this, Virgil is exploring

environmental consciousness

and how it emerges and he's exploring it

in a way that will become

influential for generations of

people.

So a fascinating work and an incredibly

important work,

by the time in the renaissance people

like Ben Johnson and Amelia Lanier

will adapt this approach to directly

explore how we'd be conscious of the

environment,

at the moment of some endangerment, in

other words, people like Ben Johnson

will, and Amelia Lanier, who are

contemporaries and writing in the same

form

in the early 17th century. They will

explore how

this is not about changing scenes, but

the scene changing itself, in particular

how

London is radically changing

environmentally at this period or the

area

surrounding it, the area incidentally

going back to her earlier Gilgamesh

discussion, the area outside the walls of the

house that's changing.

Yeah hopefully this is all clear, it

can be a difficult

concept regarding

the emergence of environmental

consciousness and how it's

communicated, or failed to be

communicated, and why it's so important

to pastoral,

if it's not, the

nice things about having these things

recorded

because, you can always go back again and

and review or you can always

contact me

directly, so but as I recall having

asked this question

a couple times in the past, people

generally

have the basic idea here, but if not

don't feel bad, just go back and

review, I would suggest.

So that ends pastoral,

Before I jump there, so we're going to

now talk about

georgic. Georgic is another form of

nature writing and

it actually formally gets inaugurated

here with

Virgil. So Theocratists sort of formally

inaugurated pastoral, but Virgil really

made a huge

imprint on it, in this case however it's

being formally inaugurated by

Virgil and

it's the second of his three most

important literary contributions, the

third which isn't really

of interest to us right now, which is

epic.

So, georgic can $\,$

function as a form of nature writing,

depicting life in the country.

(let me get out of the picture here for a

moment)

The difference is, and by the way, it's

often a

discussion of actual environments

rather than metaphorically.

It can be metaphorical when it's a

celebration of labor, but that gets to my

point here:

pastoral, the life depicted

in nature, was one of odium,

one lack of labor, so in that sense it's

like,

before the fall in Eden, or like the

golden race in Hesiod, nobody has to

work, shepherds are just kind of

lounging around, walking around with

their sheep and all,

but georgic by contrast

is hard work and agriculture,

it is there's no odium associated with

georgic, in fact georgic will become

quintessentially associated with hard

work.

So if you map this back on to eden,

yes the before the fall, was

like pastoral life

characterized by odium, after

the fall,

is very much like georgic literature.

Georgic is

where you have to work, you have

to do like Adam,

"cursed is the ground for thy sake you

know only through the sweat of your brow,

only through hard work you're going to

eat," that's the way it is.

So Virgil knowing obviously pastoral

verv well.

nonetheless is going to focus on this

other type

of agricultural labor,

and it's interesting right, because

if you think about it,

people have been, will write all

this pastoral literature and then

writing it before Virgil, they're

going to write ton of it after Virgil,

and it's all celebrating a kind of

agricultural

existence that doesn't really match much

with contemporary

agriculture, whether it's now or 2,000

years ago, because agriculture, and I can

tell you this having grown up on a farm,

that is true,

agriculture requires a lot of hard

back-breaking work.

Pastoral doesn't acknowledge that.

Georgic is an acknowledgement of that,

and I'll jump back to that one in a

minute, so while they're appearing in

literature and

in georgic, by the way, just to go back

what we said about

pastoral and being a mode, georgic

can inhabit any literary

form, georgic show up in a novel

or poem, and you can have it in art

too, so georgic landscapes almost always

will depict whether

described or painted or

whatever, people working the environment.

The word georgic incidentally comes from

two Greek words:

"gia" you may have heard this word, it's

the word for earth, and "ergon" which means to work. So what is georgic? It is to work the earth, and it pretty much sums up what it is right, it is labor, it's not odium, it's not free of labor, but it's labor. That's what georgic is, agricultural labor. So in terms of Eden, but also know that georgic maps rather nicely not to the golden age, which pastoral and Eden kind of line up with but to that modern age, and Ovid will reference this too, the iron age, so we do not live in a time of pastoral for the most part, and even agriculturally people working out on farms live in an era of georgic, so you can see this visually here, so this is a scene from a 16th century work by Peter Brugel, "The Elder," and pastoral is, or in landscapes or shepherds with their sheep, so if you look down here this is a perfect pastoral scene, you have all the components there, you have a shepherd, you tell he's a shepherd, he has a shepherd's hook, you can tell that he's just looking off in space, not doing anything, he's not at all

working hard,

and by the way, if you weren't quite sure

if he's a shepherd, well he is,

because well there are sheep in the

scene

too, so Brugel wants to say,

Brugel sets out to

create a pastoral scene in this work, and

he does it,

but georgic landscapes,

as you would imagine, to pick

farmers working the land, and that's what

we have

with this guy, how do you know

he's a farmer, well he has a

plow and an ox, and he's actually working

in the land.

It's metaphorical because he's doing a

ton of work, it's not metaphorical,

it's literal, but it's showing

something big here,

that he's actually terracing the land,

here, so what is terracing, if

you have a hillside

and it's too steep to grow on, you create

all these little steps,

each little step is horizontal and flat

and you can grow

things there. You'd imagine all the work

it would take to turn a hill

into a terrace like this, you can't just

do it with a plow here, wouldn't it be

nice if this might work this way,

but Virgil is trying to underscore, and

he's thinking, well what's the

most work a farmer would actually do,

well hey taking one of those

hills and literally reshaping it into terrace, that's got to be a lot of work, so that's what I'll depict here, and that's what Brugel does. So if you're at all confused between pastoral and georgic, here it is pastoral, not doing a lot of work, hanging out with sheep, georgic, hard work, agricultural work, modern work, in the sense of this would be that drop bottom cloud that he's using is not something that the romans would have had, was developed during the medieval period, so Brugal was trying to actually show what real agricultural work is like in the fields, so they may seem very similar, so if you had looked at this picture, this painting before I drew attention to these, you might think wow, they're both pretty much the same, but they're actually quite different right, two very different approaches and you can think about this from an environmental point of view, how does this matter? Well in the one even though we know that pastoral landscapes were highly modified because often there was deforestation beforehand, and it's the pastoral enterprise and sense of letting sheep graze there to keep the forest down, but still it's seen as it's described as

minimal intervention in the environment, in other words, just letting the environment be the way it is, not doing anything to change it, whereas the other one, and approach georgic and Brugel's painting, is a great example of it, because there's a massive modification involved to the environment, it's changing a lot and Brugel underscores it by saying, you're even taking a hill and completely reshaping it, that's that's pretty big deal. So you can see from our modern perspective, they're very different, one sort of a hands-off approach to the environment, the other, changing it as required, including in as big a way as you can technologically do, which is what something like terracing is what's happening. So, let's talk about Virgil's georgic, so Virgil writes eclogues, and he also writes georgics, so I'll give you just a little background on why he does it here, Virgil is, actually, see remember Caesar Augustus

played a role in the georgic, so Virgil writes in the narratives where Augustus

and

in a roundabout way, Caesar Augustus had

asked

Virgil to write the Georgians. He was

sort of commissioned to do it, but not

directly, but through sort of

connections, why is it the case?

So Rome has a fascinating distinction in

western history,

let me see if I can't pop up here and

what that is, is Rome, the first

city in the west to ever have a

population of

1 million. That's huge, now

if you have a population of a million,

there is infrastructure,

practical problems you need to attend to.

First,

food and water, how do you supply

everyone's food and water, well let's go

to water first because you probably know

this,

Rome is famed for its aqueducts right,

aqueducts bring

in water from the hills outside

of

of Rome, and because the Rome is lower,

the aqueducts are actually to have

a pressurized water system

in Rome, believe it or not, so if you've

seen

some of these signature fountains in

Rome that are from the roman era, and

2,000 years ago

there there's no pump pumping that water

to make those fountains

in the water come out, in fact, what's

happening is gravity is forcing water through pipes, pipes are coming to the fountain and then, because the water source is up higher then the fountain, water just pops up out of the ground. That present the problem for the Romans by the way, because to have these pipes that were watertight or mostly watertight and work, they line them with lead, which of course is a problem because it's a poison for human beings, but then shift to the other problem, and that is providing food for a million people, how do you distribute food for a million people? Well you probably know the other iconic Roman thing that made this possible, and that is of course its infrastructure, its streets and roads, had a great system of roads but even so it's very difficult to transport something by way of road with a horse drawn cart, in fact by the time you get even to the 19th century, about the time that Thoreau was born in the United States, it was just as expensive and just as difficult to bring something 20 miles over land by way of horse and carriage, than it would to be to try to bring it across the Atlantic by

way of boat, so it's very difficult, so you what you really want to do is have the food that you're transporting by way of roads as close as possible to the source, the city, the place it's going, so Rome is surrounded by all these beautiful villas and estates, and it's prime farmland and it's nice and close to the city, so how do you get the people there into the business of farming, they weren't too keen on doing it, because these are wealthy landowners and Rome now is the biggest city in the west ever, it's a really exciting place with a lot of diversions, most these people had this, their first home in the city, and these wealthy landowners wanted to spend time in the city, so what you have was Virgil, and we're going to see this with Varro too for another reason, but I won't, well I'll tell you in a second what it is, but Virgil's job is to make a celebration of country life, to make farming, the hard work of farming sound fun and worthwhile, and that's what the georgic set out to

do, so you can see why Caesar Augustus,

wanting his city to be prosperous and making sure that there's sustained food for everyone, tries to get all these land owners to convert their estates to productive little farms, and his job is going to be doing it by way of a celebration of farming, you want to see Varro who's writing at the same time, roughly does the same exact thing, trying to get farmers to come and work there, but he's going to appeal to their pocketbooks and is going to argue, you can make a fortune if you happen to be lucky enough to have one of these farms surrounding Rome, so either way though the root mission here, and arguably the reason georgic comes into being when it does, anyhow is a celebration of hard work because it was needed by Rome. So let's go back to our prezi, okay let me get out of the screen here, so georgics are written after pastoral, the eclogues were written so right 30 years before the Christian era is inaugurated, begins georgic literature, although just same way we saw with pastoral, you can look to prior examples, and we did that with Sappho, was sort of pastoral existing before

Theocratists formally introduced it, and

you could say the same,

Hesiod in the works and days talks

about georgic agricultural labor and all,

but it's not really what we would think

of as formal georgic at that point,

keep in mind though so there's no

confusion that

Virgil's a roman writer, Hesiod is

Greek, and the same way Theocritus is Greek

and Virgil is Roman, so it was

around before

as a form, but it's really Virgil that

puts it on the

on the map, we'll do skip again here,

it's another text in our tradition that

encourages

us to believe that human beings once

lived at peace with the earth,

so we had that with

Eden in the Bible, we had it with the

golden race, we had it was the golden

age, we had it with pastoral literature,

but now

georgic too, because it maps perfectly

with pastoral to the eudenix story, what

I mean by that is,

pastorals is prelapsarian,

before the fall when there was no labor,

then after the fall you have georgic, so

and it actually there is explicit

reference to that here in the text,

and here it is, Virgil imagines

just like the bible, just like Hesiod,

just like Ovid, a perfect time,

and here he gives a description

of it

in the text, "so earth yielded all of herself more freely when none begged her for her gift"

So this is not georgic labor, but this is before georgic labor, so the same story told again and again and again, by different people in different ways and different literary forms, but it all suggests that the earth,

the relationship the human beings had with the earth was pretty

perfect, and it's now lost.

Virgil however is now writing later than these other people, it's later than the Bible, it's later than Hesiod, and the story has been around literally

for centuries, this idea,

but he embellishes

it, he adds a little more

that they're, it not only was the great relationship we had with the

planet, but we had a great relationship

with each other, according to him,

as "no tillers subdued the land,"

no one was doing what we saw in

that brutal painting of

working with plausible and

hurting the land,

but how are people different, even to

mark the field or divide it was unlawful,

in other words, to

to put a fence up and say this is my

field and that's your field,

this perfect time before all everything

went wrong

there was no demarcating property there, why because men made gain for the common

store,

everyone worked together for the common $% \left\{ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{\mathbf{r}_{i}}\right\} =\mathbf{r}_{i}^{\mathbf{r}_{i}}$

good, no one

even claimed to have personal property,

everyone

was pretty nearly a socialist in the way

that they

they worked, it was not for personal

gain or profit but

instead it was for the good of everybody

else, for the common store, the common

good.

So it's interesting how

this was always imagined to be

a great time,

before we screwed everything up, whether

it's Eden or the golden age or whatever,

but people like Virgil was, I mean what

would that be really like in that great

time, and

he has an answer here that would people

would have gotten along in a much better

way with each other,

so and

here we have "the great father himself,

his willed the path of husbandry should

not be smooth

and made human art, human work, awaken

the fields."

So this is not again Hebrew

story, this is not the bible, and yet it's

pretty darn similar to the bible, the

great father himself, the great god of

the whole thing,

will that husbandry, farming,
will not be easy, and that's exactly what
happens in the bible,
so why are all these so similar, well
historically we're in a
all hearkening back to the same era,
same part of the world, the Middle East
where these stories would have spread
around,
so even though we will often think, and I
will often talk about the Greco-Roman
tradition being very different than the

there is a lot of cross-pollination going on here, and you can see it with with Virgil here, that you could

you could

literally have

Judeo-Christian one,

taken that line "the great father himself,
"is willed at the path of husbandry
should not be smooth and has made
human art awaken the fields," you could
pretty much put that right in the Bible,
translate it from Rome, from

Latin into

perfect sense in there so similar or the
way that these are
talked about together, very famous
line from Virgil, from the georgic
"toil conquered the world
relentless unrelenting toil," that the
line here is toil

Hebrew, and it would make

conquered the world and the idea and

labor conquers all, this is a very

literal translation where
we have the one we're reading from
labor conquers all, so in other words
nothing is going to come to you
unless you work hard for it, and this
is arguably, maybe we'll talk a little
bit about when we get to the early
modern period, but the so-called
protestant work ethic is coming out of
this too,

although this is not Christian text nonetheless,

coming out of this part of the world is the notion that we have to work hard for everything,

and clearly the relationship that human beings now have, the kind of work

that they're doing

is a pretty adversarial one, so

even the farmer's $\,$

tools, I note here, they're called

the hardy rustic weapons

in Latin, the word is arma from

which we get our word

arms like firearms, without which the

the crops could neither be sewn or

raised so

this is an adversarial relationship and

is characterized by work,

hard relentless work, and it is

kind of like a battle, who are the

combatants,

human beings and the earth. Human beings

have to

overcome the earth so that they can $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

eat and make a living,

and they will only do it through relentless toil to conquer the world, to conquer the earth.

One thing worth noting here is that in the pastoral, tradition and especially Virgil's ecloques there's a number of them, we just read one,

but it's an important one,

I tell you, you don't have to read them all, and I can give you a little spoiler here,

there's no mention of
how to be a shepherd, there's no
mention of how to tend
sheep, or what kind of fields to have, or
how to shear sheep or anything

like that. So they're in no way

like a manual, like a useful manual.

The georgics however, contained a variety of very practical suggestions regarding husbandry, so the georgics are actually are like a farming manual, and I can tell you because I grew up on a farm and I'm an urban farmer myself,

people still are interested in the georgics in a practical way, if you go on amazon or something like that, you will find people

writing books that reference the georgics about,

for specific advice on

farming and all.

I can tell you having grown up on a farm, can save you a little work here too,

yeah don't do that, Virgil didn't

really have much of a clue about good farming, I mean it, really if you want to start looking to older works that introduce farming, you go to the renaissance,

16th century, and forward, and especially really good advice,

and that would be like in England and

elsewhere, but go to

19th century French intensive farming
techniques outside of Paris, because
when Paris, and Paris will be until
the 19th century that it reaches a

population of a million, and yet the same issue of how to feed all these people,

and the farmland around Paris and even in

Paris was very intensively being farmed in an

incredibly clever way that really made our modern market $% \begin{array}{l} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right) & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & \left(\frac{1}{2}$

gardens, which you get if you go to a farmers market,

possible. So farmers markets, by the way, are this whole same thing we were

about with georgic, these are local farms that provide food for cities, or even little cities like Santa Barbara, if you go to one of our farmers markets here, these farmers bring their food into the city,

and I'm sorry,

talking

a little bit of a digression there, just just don't

try to do farming the way Virgil

describes it.

It's very clever in a way, because Virgil

is a very clever guy,

to let you know that pastoral

is a pretty, sort of fanciful thing, an

ideological thing,

but when we get to the actual

work of the countryside,

and georgic work, that scene is very real.

So Virgil is clear here that

yes, you can talk about all this

allegorically, about why we need

to work and

in general, but he also wants to be very

clear that this is very practical advice

he's offering up here,

because they are meant to be basically

farming manual, they have a lot of

practical advice so,

book one we read part of

this,

concerns the actual form implements, so

this is why people, even today will read

it so

literally. So first thing you need to

have, the right tools, the right arma,

and what are those tools? You need plows,

you need all sorts of things like that,

and he sets out

to describe all those. Book three is

related to animal husbandry, so

you need these things like plows and

rakes and hoes and all, if you

are growing plants, and he also talks

about orchards too,

but book three then gets into the other $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$

aspect of farming,

for some farms, most farms traditionally,

which is also the raising of animals

animal husbandry, so how do

you raise pigs, how do you raise chickens,

and things like that.

Book four is fascinating because it's

all about beekeeping,

and boy does he get a lot wrong

there

with beekeeping, but it again is

meant to be very

practical guide, again you can read it

metaphorically, he

intended it to be rendered

metaphorically, but it's also meant to be

very practical,

and specifically,

he talks about in detail, and this is

where it's hard to, I mean this is where

you realize that

we've ended any hope of those particular

passages being read allegoriaclly,

although people have tried, when he

actually talks about how to

make a plow,

he means that as to how to make a plow,

and how to raise plants, animals,

he's writing in a very beautiful

way and a sort of a celebration of how

to make a plow and makes making a plow

sound like fun.

But it's also

the real thing, and again go back to his

larger project, what he's been sort of

commissioned to do

from, indirectly by the way of Caesar

Augustus,

he's trying to make

something, even something as basic as

making a plow,

like a celebration, like a wonderful

thing, I mean this country life is being

described here,

not like pastoral, but nonetheless like

the life. I mean if you want to live a

really good life and connect up with

what is meaningful

in life, go out to a farm,

that's where you're going to have it.

Incidentally this tradition doesn't die

with

Virgil in his georgics, but continues on for

thousands of years including today.

If you ever wondered why life of farmers

is described as so wonderful, if you ever

thought wouldn't it be great to go live

out on a farm

and where life is simpler and better and

wonderful in so many ways,

well in part Virgil, and again

people did it before him, but Virgil

especially,

set the stage for celebrating that kind

of life,

and I can tell you, having grown

up on a farm,

it's not the way Virgil or people

describe

it, that's not the way even, I think

there's a recent film as a

biggest little farm, I forget which, is the celebration of a farm in California, and it's very much in the georgic tradition, and often this is

hyperbolically described as being wonderful and all that, and farming life has

its benefits,

very

but it is not always the wonderful life that is portrayed in literature, and when people are doing it like this, and Virgil's case there's often a reason why they're doing it, it's not like Virgil went out to a farm one day and said,

this is wonderful, I'm just going to describe exactly everything I see and make it sound so wonderful, because you know what, it is wonderful, that's not why he wrote this, he wanted to make it sound wonderful so people would go

out there, farm that land, and help keep Rome as a strong city,

and that's what Caesar Augustus wanted, and that's what Virgil wants to do for him.

So what's interesting is

Virgil is not the only person who writes a farming manual at the time, there are others,

and one of them is Varro and let's get to him, another one of our roman writers,

Varro's book is called, On Agriculture

and it is written about 36

BCE, so it's a decade or so

before Virgil writes the georgics and

arguably, it had an influence on

Virgil, so this is, in that sense Virgil

is not the first

farming manual by long shot written by

Romans, and in fact there had been this

other very popular one a decade before

by Varro.

There was an older one by a guy named

Cato, and by the way these works are all

both works by Varro and Cato entitled On

Agriculture,

De Agricula, and the first one,

the one written by Cato, is arguably the

first

Latin book, it is the first work of

connected Latin

prose that we have, and that should tell

you something pretty interesting,

so when Roman culture

consolidates,

and we've seen now,

we've seen with the Epic of Gilgamesh

sort of predates

written literature, and then it gets

written down, I told you that happens the

same with

Homer's Iliad and Odyssey, it happens

the same with beowulf in the English

language,

and here we have it, but the first

written work that we know

of was a farming manual, so when Rome

began to grow,

and these people began to really

consolidate themselves,

the thing of greatest importance at

first seemingly,

is this agricultural project, it's

just essential

to keeping this, to making this city

happen, to making this culture happen, to

making Rome, the great power that it is,

you have to feed all these people, and

before

Virgil took up writing a manual on how

to do it.

Cato was there, and then Varro.

Both Cato and Varro take

for granted that we no longer live in a

golden age,

in and they would likely totally agree

with Virgil's sentiment that

labor conquers all toil conquered the

world, and they both set out

very detailed plans for agricultural

conquest,

so there's nothing $\,$

allegorical about these,

Virgil, you can read him allegorically,

people would read Cato and Varro

allegorically in the sense there was a

celebration of work and you should work

hard and everything else you do in your

life, in that sense, they're allegories,

but they're really meant to be taken up

as

farming manuals, and in detail,

and not just the,

so imagine again who this is set out

for,

and this would apply to Cato and Varro as well, as what we said about Virgil, these are for rich landowners right, so this is not for someone building, putting together a little garden the way I have at my house here, and where you grow some vegetables in your yard, this is for rich landowners to develop farms, so he talks about, they

about the economics of it, they talk
about how you get
workers to do it, and by the way this is
all done with slave labor at the time,
so the keeping of slaves becomes a
component of these farm manuals, and you
think, why is that? Well
on a large-scale farm, you need an
agricultural staff to do it and
in this case, it would be slaves, and then

manage them and all,
and Cato and Varro want to help you with
that, so yes they are farming manuals but
not the kind of simple farming that you
might think, hence

you have to like figure out how to

 $Virgil\ because,\ he's\ so$

poetic with him,

doesn't get into those sort of details, you can see why people are still looking to Virgil's

farming manuals today, people might look to Cato and Varro, but not as much because it's not a poetic celebration, and it does get into these gritty,

in some cases very profoundly

disturbing things like slavery.

Let's talk about that,

Cato for example, provides details on

when to cut back on food rations with

slaves,

what's the proper age to sell them, so in

other words,

farm, labor, or someone working in the

fields would be very good when they're

younger, but when they get to be older,

you have to keep feeding them and yet

they don't they can't provide as much

work,

so in the ruthless calculations that

someone like Cato does,

he will tell you what age to sell

them off, and someone else can

feed them, and you keep getting new

younger people and all

to work in the fields because they

can do more.

It's ruthless and

we're going to see how, like Cato and

Varro, see the earth is just a resource

and how we can optimize

profit from it, see animals that way in

particular.

But I just want to underscore here they

see people that way too,

so it doesn't matter that we're

talking about other human beings here,

for these guys, what matters is

profit, and if other human beings have to

be enslaved to get you more profit,

so be it, and if you need to be ruthless in the way you treat them to optimize

your profit,

so be it, so if you

if you think that slavery is an

agricultural project,

is just emerges in the United

States in the 19th century

Before,

it's been around, it's been around for a

long time and the underlying

ethics, or lack of ethical

precepts has been around for a long time

too unfortunately.

Did you find Varro boring?

So I ask it in comparison to Virgil

because Virgil is

a very poetic writer, a great writer one

that will inspire

many people to come, but Varro

is not a great writer, I don't think in

that sense. Varro,

he hits the major points,

he describes what he wants to describe,

but

it's like reading a farming manual today,

you're not you're not going to want to

sit down and read a farming

manual, if you're interested in reading a

work of literature you're

better to pick up a novel or a poem by a

really great writer,

but that's not what we have here, so

apologies again because I know while

reading some of this early stuff is

difficult enough,

when you get to someone like Varro, it's

pretty dry, sorry about that.

So let's continue with him, talking about

what he writes in detail.

Cato and Varro imagine

plants, animals, and the earth as not

unlike

slaves, and they of course lump

slaves in too

here, this is not new with Roman

writers, we saw it in the beginning with

the Epic of Gilgamesh,

but these guys lay out detailed plans

for the most efficient way

possible to gain a profit from the

earth,

from plants, from animals, from enslaved

human beings,

so you might think, and we often

talk about

capitalism having emerged with the $\,$

growth of so-called technological

modernity,

it's actually argued angel of marx and

englefam actually argued that

capitalism emerges with the rise of the

working proletariat

during the so-called industrial

revolution, and I can see where

people would make that argument, but

underlying rationale for something like

capitalism

not on them, not modern

capitalism for sure, but the underlying

rationale.

has been around for a long time, and I

think

as we go through the next few

lines from

from Varro, I think you can see it on

display here, and what I mean by that,

is, this is a group of people, wealthy

people,

intent on getting more wealth,

aggregating more wealth,

using their wealth and doing whatever

they have to do it, doesn't matter how

the earth is harmed, how animals

are harmed, what you do with plants, or

even other human beings,

this is about one thing, your profit,

don't forget that.

All these other things are just a means

to an end,

yeah that's a problem but anyhow let's

go

They lay out, Cato and Varro,

the first factory farms, and again you

might think this is kind of a modern

thing,

if you read like Michael Pollan and all,

I'm talking about something

like Nominal versus Dilemma, very popular

work from the early 20th century, 21st

century,

that factory farms emerge in

the 20th century,

principally after the second world war,

but if you read what we're reading from

Varro, you'll see

that not only making farms more

efficient, but the large-scale farming

projects

clearly were being

implemented 2,000 years ago, as well.

Birds raised for food, for example,

first off, they're raised for one thing,

and one thing alone which is profit,

but the sheer scale of it the size of it

is like a factory farm, certainly at the

time. I'll give you an example in a

moment, but

know that this again is not like

people doing urban farming with

half a dozen raised beds

outside in their garden,

this is serious large-scale stuff,

that is run like a business, and for

one purpose alone,

and again, this is why the parallel

with capitalism,

it's profit, it's all about profit.

Varro

talks about the efficiency of

scale, this is something that will happen

during the so-called industrial

revolution,

and he, for example says if

you're going to grow pigeons, so first

off,

people in Rome, like us, that eat it

they are various meat, one of them was $\,$

pigeons, they didn't grow chickens,

and significantly pigeons were the food

that they grew,

so if you're eating foul, most likely

our go-to fowl that we would eat would

be chicken, their go-to would be pigeon.

So if you're going to be eating pigeons, you could just grow the way people had done in small scale like this, would be like family farming, what we sometimes call subsistence farming, you would keep some pigeons in your backyard, just the way I have chickens right over here, about 12 feet from me, or a chicken and very small-scale thing. Varro, Cato argue, that's not the way you make money, that's not the optimal way of building your profit, you need to create a big thing, a dedicated building for it that'll house 5,000 pigeons. 5,000, that's huge, and that's why I said, it's like factory farming because that is like factory farming, this is not six or ten pigeons in your backyard, this is 5,000, huge building just for that, and the only way you're going to be able to do that is if you have a lot of money, so these wealthy landowners had the money, they could embark on this project, and again Virgil and his georgic celebrated this life and said go do this it'll be great, country

life is wonderful.

Varro is taking in Cato too, a different

tax saying

use your money to make more money, if you

want to make a profit,

you're sitting on land that's going to

make you a

ton of money, but you have to do some

certain things like

build a building to house birds, build as

big as you can, build and hold as many as

5,000

because you'll get a huge return on

your money, and the only people that had

the money to do it were the ones who had

already

amassed significant capital.

One of the things that's striking about

Varro,

and I talked about it with slaves, that

he's just

inhumane the way he imagines keeping

slaves,

buy and sell them at the right $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

time and all, he does the same thing

with animals,

and he knows that they are sentient

beings,

and what I mean by that, pop back on here,

what I mean by that is,

he knows that these birds, for example,

pigeons

are feeling entities, that they

sense and know things, and one thing that

they've discovered, Cato and Varro, and people

on this project,

that if you have 5,000 birds and you're keeping them all in one big building, when you want to go ahead and get them to deliver to market and kill them, if you do it right there in that building, that's a problem, because you have ten thousand little eyes watching what you're doing, and they're suddenly seeing what this whole project is about, that what it's all there for was to keep them to be killed. According to Varro, if the birds realize that, they will become depressed they won't eat, and they will be smaller in size, and your profits will be lower, so he suggests you build a separate little building, a sequestarium, and our word sequester, obviously comes from that the joint Latin root here, you sequester the bird so they don't know what's happening, so when birds see someone come in and they see birds taking out, well they don't really know what's happening there right, maybe those birds are getting set free, maybe that's a good thing to be taken out, and as a consequence, they don't get too worried about it, they keep eating, they don't become depressed,

but the underlying thing that's so

important here is that

Varro is aware that these are thinking,

feeling,

creatures, that they are sentient, that

they have emotions,

and as a consequence, he does nothing

there, I mean you think like if you had

that realization one day and

you just thought that these were

these creatures that didn't think or

feel at all, and suddenly one day you

realized that they were,

well gee, you might stop the whole

project, and you might say I'm just not

going to do this anymore, I had no idea

of

what kind of beings these were, but

Varro says, ah no well that's a problem,

but let's see how we can get around it,

sc

when I drew the parallel slaves and all,

and how ruthless he was,

you can see it here, let's go

to the next one.

There is this,

he unflinchingly pursues profit so

when I drew

connections to

modern capitalism, this is why,

he's concealing their deaths, just

to fatten them up,

by the way people have, looking Varro

drawn other

connections to modern things, you can

see.

you could compare the

```
project here
with death camps and all, and keeping,
so I'm thinking of the Nazis here,
and you could see,
concerning the fact
that
individuals were going to die, the same
way it's being done with your birds,
I think that's not unfair to
make that connection, but I think it does
break down very quickly,
but you can see that sort of the
underlying danger here, in both cases if
you
if you stop seeing sentient feeling
beings, whether those are human beings,
or birds or whatever, if you stop
seeing it that way,
the potential for horrific
abuse
and Varro lays it out here
in terms of how you can abuse
slaves or even the mass killing
becomes possible again, I
wouldn't
push this too far and I think it
does break down and I
wouldn't want you to go away saying I've
said that the same exactly, because
they're not the same
in many ways, but you can see
what happens when a human being becomes
so disconnected from the feelings of
others,
whether other people, or other feeling
beings,
```

so this is it, but the way I describe it here, the ability to disassociate yourself from the feelings of others that's a disturbing idea, it's kind of a variation on the and if you if you think of others like you think of yourself, that's a different thing altogether, pop out for a second. I just want to underscore that that's the problem here when you disconnect yourself from other animals, other species, other human beings, I mean it's a huge potential issue, and it's not inherent in farming, it's not inherent even an animal husbandry, but it's a big issue here, and especially when it's done for the case of profit alone, it's an issue, so anyhow let's get back to here, another example, so it's not just Varro wanted to sequester birds so they didn't know they were dying, but other ruthless descriptions here, the problem is if you have birds in the nest, in a building, and they're moving around a lot,

they're burning off the energy of the food, they're getting cardio right, so

Varro suggests the better way to handle

them is

to break their legs in the nest, and if

they have broken legs,

they can't move, all they can do is eat

and get fatter without legs so

they can move around, they won't burn off

those calories,

and they will just get fatter more

quickly than others,

it sounds like an incredibly ruthless

thing to do,

well it is an incredibly ruthless thing

to do, but

if you're familiar, and I'm not gonna in

this course get into

what factory farms are like, I grew up

on a smaller, kind of older family farm,

but I've been to factory farms

myself, and where they raise birds and

in a modern building like I've been in

for example,

they raise not $5{,}000$ birds but like

18,000

turkeys in a single building, but

there's a law that was passed not that

long ago in California,

and it was kind of a milestone law, that

you have to provide enough room whenever

you're growing chickens for example,

that they can turn around in the space,

and that was heralded by

animal rights activists as an

achievement, that that law was passed,

but think about that for a moment the and that's other states too, but there are other states that don't follow this and what that means is, you're not doing what Varro is suggesting, breaking the legs of the birds, but you're packing them in so tightly that they can't even turn around, so if they can't move at all, then they don't get exercise either, is that more humane than breaking their legs, well I guess so, but an even more humane thing is have a law that lets them turn around, but wait that's all they can do is just turn around, they have no other moving room, this is why when you have pasture-fed chicken, you can buy this, it's a lot more expensive, where chickens have actually been allowed out on pastures where they can walk around, but Varro clearly is thinking about maximum profit, regardless of what it means for the animals, in this case the pigeons, and you can see the problem here, and you can see why I drew parallels to it to factory farming,

because

factory farms today are packing chickens

why are they doing that, and it's all for

in so close that they can't move,

one thing, profit.

Varro's laying

down that underlying mindset

2,000 years ago.

So let's pull some things together

that we've encountered,

and one of the reasons I want to do this

is when we talked about

Christianity, some of the things we want

to mention here,

Genesis which is

obviously a principle text in the

Christian tradition, you might have

thought that

this is only a problem with Christianity

or something, it's not, and one of the

reasons I gave you Varro

as an example, is such a great one and an

important one,

but also because to let that

this is not the Judeo-Christian

tradition but this is another

tradition and this tradition also has

profound problems with respect to how it

imagines animals, so you can't just

say

it's a Judeo-Christian tradition that

that is

resulted in the ethic that

we have toward animals today, it comes

out of other traditions,

and it also comes out of this, I don't

even call it tradition, this

mindset that we see developing here,

which is

the relentless pursuit of

profit, the underlying capitalist mindset

that large-scale capital

can produce more and more capital

regardless of

how it impacts people or animals or

anything else, but anyhow,

so disturbing things we've encountered

so far this term,

if there's no prohibition against the

exploitation of other forms of life

and in the myth of Gilgamesh,

you have that right,

you have that's what genus loki figures

were, they

keep exploitation from happening, but if

you don't have those, if there's no

protector of animals or

features of the earth, if there's no

genus loki of pigeons,

you're beginning you have a problem here.

Also to imagine us as fundamentally

different from other life,

and arguably the creation narrative in

Genesis does that, human beings are

created entirely differently,

it opens up the possibility

that we're

fundamentally different, and especially

if you're told you have dominion over

these animals,

you can kind of do

whatever you want with them,

so that's a problem, and by the

way, you can say well,

slaves are a little different because

they're human beings,

but people often will find we don't have to go through how slavery worked in this period, and for one reason or another, being the group that the slaves come from or whatever, that you yourself are a superior type of being, both human beings, but you're a superior human being yourself, but anyhow if you see yourself fundamentally different, right and of course going back to the analogy of death camps, if you see yourself fundamentally different than other people, and what made the Nazi project possible was that this group saw themselves fundamentally different than Jewish people, then that became possible, in fact what I think is the most chilling line among an incredible number of chilling lines in Adolf Hitler's mein kampf, at one point he says, I don't know if I quoted exactly, but at one point in his life he came to see that Jewish people were not human beings, we're not human, or maybe he says earlier in his life he actually believed that they were human, but when he comes to that conclusion that they are not human beings,

then it opens up the possibility for the

kind of thing we're seeing here,

so again I'm not drawing genetic

parallels there at all, but I

am saying that when you disconnect

yourself from another being,

it opens up the possibility for

their mistreatment, exploitation,

murder.

If the physical realm is

largely insignificant with soulless

beings right, beings that

don't have souls, as imagined

then our treatment of the earth can

become inconsequential,

again, I don't want to go through details

of religion

of the Roman people at the time, but I

am saying

that this is the horrible end point

of all this,

if you think the Earth isn't important,

then why

not just not neglect it, why not do

anything you want to it, and all the

beings on it that aren't

like you, it's a disturbing

possibility here. So

let's wrap up the georgics and

agriculture

and wrap up with the Romans as

well.

It's not surprising if you've

read these two

that Varro's On Agriculture

and Virgil's georgics appear in the same

decade as they underscore that our

relationship with the planet

is essentially adversarial,

both accounts and it's

the genesis account and Virgil's account

here it's the idea that

(get out of there for a moment) that

this is decreed by

god, it's also not surprising what we

know about history that

as Rome was growing, it was

important to have these farms converted,

these

big estates converted to farmland so you

just celebrate the farming life with

Virgil or

you appeal to the pocket books of people $\,$

with Varro.

This adversarial relationship is

central to the georgic

ethic propounded by

Virgil, it is human beings are separate

from the planet,

and it's a justification for the

large-scale

exploitation of plants and

animals that we have

in and Varro. Cato,

we didn't read him but Cato was earlier

by a century,

and the same basic idea is put forth $% \left\{ 1,2,...,n\right\}$

there,

so, in a way, I want to be clear it's not

just

Virgil, not just Varro, it is part of

a Roman ethic with respect to the

relationship

to other beings, more generally and we could talk about slave culture in Rome,

that would be a very complicated subject,

but clearly

it's how Romans related to

other

people was important,

and it's interesting because

although Virgil explored the dynamic

by which individuals like Meliboeus

gained an environmental consciousness,

so this is the guy who understands

environmental consciousness,

it doesn't

cause him to recast this tradition that

we have

from Hesiod on, to see our

relationship to the planet as

adversarial,

right you would just assume that this

guy who like Meliboeus,

saw how important the trees were,

told Tityrus they're

calling out to you, and they need you,

they're crying for you,

how that guy, who

who pioneers the representation of

environmental consciousness and western

thinking, and is an incredible milestone,

how that guy then would say

let's think of this as something

that we have to

work hard and force into

the shape that we want it, so it's

just an important thing to note,

and in general

with this course and with history in general, even though you see things that may parallel what we have today like environmental consciousness, return to their original context in this case 2,000 years ago to Rome, yes, it is the beginning of environmental consciousness, yes, we can draw, and we can look at it to see the emergence of something but that is not this, to say that is the same exact thing that we mean today by environmental consciousness, because in this case it is clearly significantly profoundly different. This not only means that we finished with Varro and Cato and Virgil, but we've now finished with the Romans here as well, and the next thing that we have will jump way forward to the medieval period, so it's going to be huge, so we're moving along we covered a massive amount of time here and with medieval, we're going to be moving firmly into continental Europe and England as well, so big jump, big deal and a lot of interesting things coming,

so I'll see you next class.